Calculating Derivative

Asst. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sudchai Boonto October 7, 2024

Department of Control System and Instrumentation Engineering King Mongkut's Unniversity of Technology Thonburi Thailand

Objective

At the end of this chapter you should be able to:

- Describe, implement, and Calculating the Derivative.
- Finite Derivative
- Automatic Differentiation

Introduction

Three derivative calculating techniques are used in the nonlinear optimization algorithm.

• Finite Differentiation: Based on Taylor's theorem, this technique observes the change in function values concerning the small perturbations of the unknown near a given point x. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the *i*th variable x_i . The central-difference formula can approximate the derivative.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)}{2\epsilon},$$

where ϵ is a small positive scalar and \mathbf{e}_i is the *i*th unit vector, that is, the vector whose elements are all 0 except for the 1 in the *i*th position.

• Automatic Differentiation: This technique uses computer code to evaluate the function that can be extracted into a composition of elementary arithmetic operations to which we can apply the chain rule. The automatic derivative (AD) is often used in machine learning and AI fields.

Introduction

• **Symbolic Differentiation:** In this technique, the algebraic specification for the function *f* is manipulated by symbolic manipulation tools to produce new algebraic expressions for each component of the gradient.

A popular formula for approximating the partial derivative $\partial f/\partial x_i$ at a given point **x** is the *forward-difference*, or *one-sided-difference*, approximation, defined as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon}$$

The gradient can be built up by simply applying the formula for i = 1, 2, ..., n. This process requires evaluation of f at the point x as well as the n perturbed points $x + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n: a total of (n + 1) points. The Taylor's theorem

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{p},$$

If we choose L to be a bound on the size of $\|\nabla^2 f(\cdot)\|$ in the region of interest. The last term is bounded by $(L/2)\|p\|^2$, so that

$$\|f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p}\| \le \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{p}\|^2$$
 5/18

For
$$\mathbf{p} = \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i$$
, we have that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{e}_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon} + \delta_{\epsilon}, \text{ where } |\delta_{\epsilon}| \le \frac{L}{2}\epsilon$$

- In theory the smaller ϵ , the more accuracy. Unfortunately, this expression ignores the roundoff errors that are introduced when the function f is evaluated on the real computer, in floating-point arithmetic.
- the quantity **u** known as *unit roundoff* is crucial. **u** is about 1.1×10^{-16} in double precision IEEE floating-point arithmetic.
- The computed values of $f(\mathbf{x})$ and $f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)$ are related to the exact values in the following way:

$$|\operatorname{comp}(f(\mathbf{x})) - f(\mathbf{x})| \le \mathbf{u}L_f,$$
$$|\operatorname{comp}(f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)) - f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)| \le \mathbf{u}L_f,$$

Finite Differentiation

Then the approximation derivative is bounded by

$$\frac{L}{2}\epsilon + 2\mathbf{u}\frac{L_f}{\epsilon} \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\left(\frac{L}{2}\epsilon + 2\mathbf{u}\frac{L_f}{\epsilon}\right) = \frac{L\epsilon^2 - \left(\frac{L}{2}\epsilon^2 + 2\mathbf{u}L_f\right)}{\epsilon^2} = 0$$
$$\epsilon^2 = \frac{4L_f}{L}\mathbf{u}$$

The best choice of ϵ is

 $\epsilon = \sqrt{\mathbf{u}}$

A more accurate approximation to the derivative can be obtained by using the *central difference* formula, defined as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)}{2\epsilon}$$

It is twice as expensive, wince we need to evaluate f at the points \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{x} \pm \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$: a total of 2n + 1 points. I can be proved as

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$
$$f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first and dividing by 2ϵ , we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{e}_i)}{2\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \text{ where } \epsilon = \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
8/18

Finite Differentiation: example

Consider the following function with two variables and two functions of interest:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 + \sin x_1 \\ x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can differentiate this symbolically to obtain exact reference values:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 + \cos x_1 & x_1 \\ x_2 & x_1 + 2x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We evaluate this at $x=\left(rac{\pi}{4},2
ight)$, which yields

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.707 & 0.785\\ 2.00 & 4.785 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
% esp is a spacing of floating point numbers.
1
2 epw = sqrt(eps)
3 \text{ epc} = \frac{\text{eps}^{(1/3)}}{1}
4
5 f1 = a(x) x(1) x(2) + sin(x(1)); f2 = a(x) x(1) x(2) + x(2)^{2};
   f = {f1, f2}
6
7
8
    x = [pi/4; 2]; e = eve(2);
    nablafw = zeros(2,2); nablafc = zeros(2,2);
9
10
11 for i = 1.2
12
    for j = 1:2
13
            nablafw(j,i) = (f{j}(x + epw*e(:,i)) - f{j}(x))/epw;
            nablafc(j,i) = (f{j}(x + epc*e(:,i)) - f{j}(x - epc*e(:,i)))...
14
15
                     /(2*epc);
16
        end
17
    end
18
    nablafc
19
20
    nablafw
```

Automatic differentiation (AD) is the generic name for techniques that use the computational representation of a function to produce analytic values for the derivatives.

- AD techniques are founded on the observation that any function is evaluated by performing a sequence of simple elementary operations involving just one or two arguments at a time.
- Two-argument operations include addition, multiplication, division, and the power operation a^b .
- Single-argument operations include the trigonometric, exponential, and logarithmic function: $\sin x$, $\cos x$, e^x , $\log x$ etc.
- Using *chain rule*, if h is a function of the vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, which is in turn a function of the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the derivative of h with respect to x is

$$\nabla_x h(y(x)) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1} \nabla y_1(x) + \dots + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_m} \nabla y_m(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} \nabla y_i(x)$$

Example

Consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(x_1 x_2 \sin x_3 + e^{x_1 x_2})}{x_3}$



$$x_4 = x_1 x_2, \quad x_5 = \sin(x_3), \quad x_6 = e^{x_4}$$

 $x_7 = x_4 x_5, \quad x_8 = x_6 + x_7, \quad x_9 = \frac{x_8}{x_3}$

12/18

For a more convenient notation, we define a new variable that represents the total derivative of variable *i* with respect to a fixed input *j* as $\dot{x}_i = dx_i/dx_j$ and rewrite the chain rule as

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}_i &= \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \dot{x}_k \\ \dot{x}_1 &= 1, \quad \dot{x}_2 = \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 \quad \dot{x}_3 = \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_2} \dot{x}_2, \\ \dot{x}_4 &= \frac{\partial x_4}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\partial x_4}{\partial x_2} \dot{x}_2 + \frac{\partial x_4}{\partial x_3} \dot{x}_3, \quad \dot{x}_5 = \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_2} \dot{x}_2 + \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_3} \dot{x}_3 + \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_4} \dot{x}_4 \\ \dot{x}_6 &= \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_1} \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_2} \dot{x}_2 + \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_3} \dot{x}_3 + \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_4} \dot{x}_4 + \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_5} \dot{x}_5 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_9 &= \frac{df}{dx} \end{split}$$

Forward-mode AD

$$\dot{x}_1 = 1, \quad \dot{x}_2 = 0, \quad \dot{x}_3 = 0, \\ \dot{x}_4 = x_2 \dot{x}_1 + x_1 \dot{x}_2 = x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_5 = \cos(x_3) \dot{x}_3 = 0, \quad \dot{x}_6 = e^{x_4} \dot{x}_4 = x_2 e^{x_4} = x_2 e^{x_1 x_2}$$
$$\dot{x}_7 = x_5 \dot{x}_4 + x_4 \dot{x}_5 = x_2 x_5,$$
$$\dot{x}_8 = 1 \dot{x}_6 + 1 \dot{x}_7 = x_2 e^{x_1 x_2} + x_2 x_5 = x_2 e^{x_1 x_2} + x_2 \sin(x_3)$$
$$\dot{x}_9 = \frac{\partial x_9}{\partial x_3} \dot{x}_3 + \frac{1}{x_2} \dot{x}_8 = \frac{x_2}{3} \left(e^{x_1 x_2} + \sin(x_3) \right) = \frac{df}{dx_1}$$

We can find $rac{df}{dx_2}$ and $rac{df}{dx_3}$ by setting $\dot{x}_2=1$ and $\dot{x}_3=1$ respectively.

$$\frac{df}{dx_2} = \frac{x_1}{x_3} \left(\sin x_3 + e^{x_1 x_2} \right)$$
$$\frac{df}{dx_3} = \frac{1}{x_3} x_1 x_2 \cos x_3 - \frac{1}{x_3^2} \left(e^{x_1 x_2} + x_1 x_2 \sin x_3 \right)$$

The reverse mode is also based on the chain rule but uses the alternative form:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dx_j} = \sum_{k=j+1}^{i} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{dx_i}{dx_k},$$

where the summation happens in reverse (starts at i and decrements to j + 1). This is less intuitive than the forward chain rule, but it is equally valid.

We defined a more convenient notation for the variables that carry the total derivatives with a fixed *i* as $\bar{x}_j = dx_i/dx_j$, which are sometimes called *adjoint* variables. Then we can rewrite the chain rule as

$$\bar{x}_j = \sum_{k=j+1}^i \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial x_j} \bar{x}_k$$

Reverse-mode AD

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_2 + \sin x_1 \\ x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi}{4}, 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$x_3 = x_1 x_2, \quad x_4 = \sin x_1, \quad x_5 = x_3 + x_4 = f_1$$
$$x_6 = x_2^2, \quad x_7 = x_3 + x_6 = f_2$$

We have

$$\bar{x}_7 = 1, \quad \bar{x}_6 = \frac{\partial x_7}{\partial x_6} \bar{x}_7 = \bar{x}_7 = 1, \quad \bar{x}_5 = \frac{\partial x_7}{\partial x_5} \bar{x}_7 + \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_5} \bar{x}_6 = 0$$

$$\bar{x}_4 = \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_4} \bar{x}_5 = 0, \qquad \bar{x}_3 = \frac{\partial x_7}{\partial x_3} \bar{x}_7 + \frac{\partial x_5}{\partial x_3} \bar{x}_5 = \bar{x}_7 + \bar{x}_5 = 1$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = \frac{\partial x_6}{\partial x_2} \bar{x}_6 + \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_2} \bar{x}_3 = 2x_2 \bar{x}_6 + x_1 \bar{x}_3 = 2x_2 + x_1 = 4.785 = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = \frac{\partial x_4}{\partial x_1} \bar{x}_4 + \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_1} \bar{x}_3 = \cos x_1 \bar{x}_4 + x_2 \bar{x}_3 = 2 = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}$$

For $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}$ and $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}$, we can find by setting $\bar{x}_5 = 1$. 16/18

Forward-mode or Reverse-mode AD

The difference between the forward and the reverse approaches is that:

- The forward mode computes the Jacobian column by column. Thus, the cost of the forward mode is proportional to the number of the input n_x
- The reverse mode computes the Jacobian row by row. The cost of the reverse mode is proportional to the number of the output function n_f.
- If we have more outputs (e.g., objective and constraints) than inputs (design variables), the forward mode is more efficient.
- If we have many more input than outputs, then the reverse mode is more efficient, as normally using in Machine Learning fields.

In Julia, there are packages like ForwardDiff.jl and ReverseDiff.jl. For Matlab, the deep learning toolbox contains functions to do the AD.

- 1. Joaquim R. R. A. Martins, and Andrew Ning, "Engineering Design Optimization," Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- 2. Jorge Nocedal, and Stephen J. Wright, "Numerical Optimization," 2nd, Springer, 2026