Introduction to Optimization II

Asst. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sudchai Boonto August 21, 2024

Department of Control System and Instrumentation Engineering King Mongkut's Unniversity of Technology Thonburi Thailand The General Form of Optimization Problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l \\ & \mathbf{x}^L \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^U \end{array}$$

- $\cdot \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the optimization variable
- + $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is the objective or cost function
- $\cdot g_i: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, m$, are the inequality constraint functions
- $h_i: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, l$, are the equality constraint functions.

General Form

A Simple Problem:

 $f(\mathbf{x})$ minimize subject to $g_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$ $h_{j}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l$

 x_2

Example:

minimize subject to

$$(x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$
$$x_2 - 2x_1 = 0$$
$$x_1^2 - x_2 \le 0$$
$$x_1 + x_2 \le 2$$



- Unconstrained Optimal Solution: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- Solution with only equality con.: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 1.6 \end{bmatrix}$
- Solution with both types of con.: $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.67 & 1.33 \end{bmatrix}$

3/36

For n = 1 or n = 2, we could plot the NLP problem to get the solution. The example shows the plotting of the feasible region Ω and objective function contours for the NLP problem with n = 2 as follow:



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x_{1},x_{2}}{\text{minimize}} & (x_{1}+2)^{2}-x_{2} \\ \text{subject to} & \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{4}+x_{2}-1 \leq 0 \\ & 2+x_{1}-2x_{2} \leq 0 \end{array}$$

Real optimal solution is

$$x_1^* = -1.6, \quad x_2^* = 0.36$$

Julia code: Graphical Method Matlab code: Graphical Method

Briefly details of important terms:

- $\operatorname{dom} f$ here means domain of the function.
- The set $\{x : |x| \le 1\}$ is an example of a *closed* set while $\{x : |x| < 1\}$ is an *open* set.
- A set is *bounded* if it is contained within some sphere of finite radius, i.e. for any point **a** in the set, $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} < c$, where *c* is a finite number.
- + For example, the set of all positive integers, $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$, is not bounded.
- A set that is both closed and bounded is called a *compact* set.

Theorem

Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a continuous function defined over a closed and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{dom} f$. Then, there exist points \mathbf{x}^* and \mathbf{x}^{**} in Ω where f attains its minimum and maximum, respectively. That is $f(\mathbf{x}^*)$ and $f(\mathbf{x}^{**})$ are the minimum and maximum values of f in the set.

Let \mathbf{x}^* and \mathbf{x}^{**} denote the solutions, if one exists, to the minimization, and maximization problems

minimize	$f(\mathbf{x})$	maximize	$f(\mathbf{x})$
subject to	$\mathbf{x}\in \Omega$	subject to	$\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$

Consider a problem

minimize x subject to $0 < x \le 1$

This simple problem does not have a solution. We observe that the constraint set Ω is not closed. Re-writing the constraint as $0 \le x \le 1$ results in x = 0 being the solution.

Consider the cantilever beam in Fig. with a tip load P and tip deflection δ . The cross-section is rectangular with width and height equal to x_1, x_2 , respectively. It is desired to minimize the tip deflection with given amount of material, or



This problem does not have a solution. It is *ill-posed*. This can be seen by substituting the beam equation

$$\delta = \frac{PL^2}{3EI} = \frac{c}{x_1 x_2^3},$$

where c is a known scalar. Owing to the fact that x_2 is cubed in the denominator of the δ expression, with a given $A = x_1x_2$ (the cross section area), the solution will tend to increase x_2 and reduce x_1 . That is, the beam will tend to be infinitely slender with $x_1 \mapsto 0, x_2 \mapsto \infty$.



Look at the feasible region Ω shown that it is unbounded, violating the simple conditions in Weierstrass theorem.

Consider a function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - 6x_1x_2 + 9x_2^2$$

We can write $f(x_1, x_2)$ in a matrix notation as:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

The matrix ${f A}$ is symmetric since

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \left(\frac{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T}{2} \right) \mathbf{x}$$

 $\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T}{2}$ is a symmetric matrix.

Definition (positive definiteness)

- A symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called *positive semidefinite*, denoted by $\mathbf{A} \succeq 0$, if $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \ge 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- A symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called *positive definite*, denoted by $\mathbf{A} \succ 0$, if $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0 \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$.

Example Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 2x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 = x_1^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 \ge 0.$$

The matrix **A** is positive semidefinite. Since $x_1^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ it follows that **A** is positive definite. **Note:** the *negative* (*semi*)*definite* is just the opposite sign of the positive (semi)definite.

The matrix, whose components are all positive, is note positive definite since for $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T$, we have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -2$$

Definition (eigenvalue characterization (proof is omit.))

Let \mathbf{A} be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then

- A is positive definite if and only if all its eigenvalues are positive,
- \cdot A is positive semidefinite if and only if all its eigenvalues are nonnegative,
- A is negative definite if and only if all its eigenvalues are negative,
- + ${f A}$ is negative semidefinite if and only if all its eigenvalues are nonpositive,
- A is indefinite if and only if it has at least one positive eigenvalue and at lease one negative eigenvalue.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 - 2\\ -2\lambda - 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0, \qquad \lambda = -1, 3$$

It is obvious that the matrix ${f A}$ is not positive definite.

Definition (Sylvester's Test for a Positive definite Matrix)

Let \mathbf{A}^i denote the submatrix formed by deleting the last n - i rows and columns of \mathbf{A} , and let det (\mathbf{A}^i) is the determinant of \mathbf{A}^i . Then, \mathbf{A} is positive definite if and only if det $(\mathbf{A}^i) > 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. This is, the determinants of all principal minors are positive.

- A is positive (semi-)definite if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive, i.e., det $\mathbf{A}^i > (\geq) 0$ for all *i*.
- A is negative (semi-)definite if and only if all the leading principal minors of -A are positive, i.e., det $-A^i > (\geq)0$ for all i
- \cdot A is indefinite if neither positive or negative definite.

Consider a matrix ${\bf A}$ below:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{A}^{1} = 1 > 0, \quad \mathbf{A}^{2} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 5 - 4 = 1 > 0, \quad \mathbf{A}^{3} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -56 < 0$$

Thus , ${f A}$ is not positive definite.

Check the characteristic of the following matrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 50 \end{bmatrix}$$

 ${\bf A}$ is indefinite,and ${\bf B}$ is positive definite.

\mathbb{C}^n Continuity of a Function

The function f is \mathbb{C}^0 continuous at a point a if : given any sequence $\{x_k\}$ in dom(f) which converts to a, then $f(x_k)$ must converge to f(a). Further, f is continuous over a set \mathbb{S} implies that it is continuous at each pint in \mathbb{S} .

\mathbb{C}^1 and \mathbb{C}^2 Continuity

Let A be an open set of \mathbb{R}^n and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^1$. if each of the functions $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$, is continuous on this set then we write $f \in \mathbb{C}^1$ or that f is \mathbb{C}^1 continuous or state that f is "smooth". If each of the functions $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i, \partial x_j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, is continuous on the set, then we write $f \in \mathbb{C}^2$. **Example:** Consider the function f with

dom $f \in \mathbb{R}^1$:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0\\ 1, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

The sequence $x_k = 1/k, k = 1, 2, 3, ...$ converges (from the right) to a = 0 but the sequence $f(x_k) = 1$ for all k and does not converge to f(a) = f(0) = 0. Thus, f is discontinuous at a = 0.

\mathbb{C}^n Continuity of a Function

Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \max(0, x - 5)^2, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^1$$



The first derivative is a continuous function, while the second derivative is not continuous at x = 5. Thus f is only \mathbb{C}^1 (and not \mathbb{C}^2) continuous on \mathbb{R}^1 .

See ch1/positive_def.jl, $df/dx = \frac{1}{2}(2) \max(0, x - 5)d(\max(0, x - 5))/dx = \max(0, x - 5)$

We consider differentiable and continuous functions of n variables $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ denoted by $f(\mathbf{x})$ or $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_3)$.

• The derivative with respect to the *i*th parameter is given by:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i + \Delta x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}{\Delta x_i}$$
$$\approx \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i + \Delta x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}{\Delta x_i}$$

• Gradient Vector: Given a function $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^1$, we introduce the gradient vector ∇f , a column vector , as

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right]^T$$

The gradient evaluated at a point \mathbf{c} is denoted by $\nabla f(\mathbf{c})$.

Derivatives and Gradient

Example Consider the function:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 - x_1 x_2$$

Determine the gradient of the function at the point $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ Solution:

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2(x_1 - 2) - x_2 \\ 2(x_2 - 1) - x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

At the point \mathbf{x}_0

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} -3\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobian

Sometime we need to consider a number of functions at the same time, like the response of a circuit at a number of frequencies. In this case we have

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_m \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The Jacobian matrix is the matrix combining all these gradients together and is given by

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1^T \\ \nabla f_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobian

Consider the vector of functions $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_1^2 + x_2^3 & x_1^3 - 2x_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$. Determine the Jacobian at the point $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0 & 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}^T$

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1^T \\ \nabla f_2^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 & 3x_2^2 & 0 \\ 3x_1^2 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

At the point \mathbf{x}_0 , the Jacobian is given by:

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1^T \\ \nabla f_2^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 3 & 0 \\ 12 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Second-order derivatives, Hessian Matrix

The mixed second-order derivative with respect to the ith and jth parameters is given by:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \lim_{\Delta x_j \to 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j + \Delta x_j, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}{\partial x_i}}{\Delta x_j}$$

The gradient of a vector of m-function, $\mathbf{H} = \nabla \mathbf{g}$ is defined to be a matrix of dimension $(n \times m)$ as

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla \mathbf{g} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_n} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hessian matrix is a symmetric matrix because the order of differentiation does not make a difference.

Second-order derivatives, Hessian Matrix

Evaluate the gradient and the Hessian of the function $f(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + 2.5x_2^2 + 2x_2x_3 + 2x_3^2 - 8x_1 - 3x_2 - 3x_3 \text{ at the point}$ $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & -1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}^T$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 - 8\\ 2x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 - 3\\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 - 3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} -3\\ -4\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 1\\ 2 & 5 & 2\\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 2.5 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -3 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}$$
22/36

syms x1 x2 x3

```
f = 3 \times x1^{2} + 2 \times x1 \times x2 + x1 \times x3 + 2.5 \times x2^{2} + 2 \times x2 \times x3 \dots+ 2 \times x3^{2} - 8 \times x1 - 3 \times x2 - 3 \times x3
```

```
g = gradient(f,[x1, x2, x3])
h = hessian(f,[x1, x2, x3])
g1 = subs(g, [x1, x2, x3], [1, -1, 1])
```

ans =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 6x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 - 8\\ 2x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 - 3\\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 - 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 ans = $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 & 1\\ 2 & 5 & 2\\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$
ans = $\begin{pmatrix} -3\\ -4\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

using Symbolics, ForwardDiff

```
@variables x1 x2 x3
```

```
f(x) = 3x[1]^{2} + 2x[1]x[2] + x[1]x[3] + 2.5x[2]^{2} + 2x[2]x[3] + 2x[3]^{2} - 8x[1] - 3x[2] - 3x[3]
```

```
gf(x) = ForwardDiff.gradient(f,x)
Hf(x) = ForwardDiff.hessian(f,x)
g = gf([x1, x2, x3])
h = Hf([x1, x2, x3])
g1 = gf([1, -1, 1])
```

Directional Derivative

Directional Derivative: The rate of change in a direction \mathbf{p} is quantified by a *directional derivative*, defined as

 $\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \tau \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\tau} = \nabla f^T \mathbf{p} = \|\nabla f\| \|\mathbf{p}\| \cos \theta$ 2.0 ് 1.5 νt 1.0 0.5 --1.5 -0.5 -2.0 -1.0 0.0 x_1

Directional Derivative

Consider the following function of two variables: $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - x_1x_2$. The gradient can be obtained using symbolic differentiation, yielding

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2\\ 4x_2 - x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \nabla f(-1, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} -3\\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Taking the derivative in the normalized direction $\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{bmatrix}$, we obtain



$$abla f^T \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/\sqrt{5} \\ -1/\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{11}{\sqrt{5}}$$

Directional Derivative

We wish to compute the directional derivative of $f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1x_2$ at x = [1, 0] in the direction $\mathbf{p} = [-1, -1]$:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -1$$

We can also compute the directional derivative as follows:

- Introduce a scalar variable α and denote points along the vector \mathbf{p} emanating from \mathbf{x} as $g(\alpha) = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{p}$
- Denote the function $f(\alpha) = f(g(\alpha)) = f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{p})$. We have

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \left. \frac{df}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=0} = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial g} \right|_{\alpha=0} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \alpha} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^T \mathbf{p} = \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{p}$$

• from above example, we have

$$g(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{p}) = (1 - \alpha)(-\alpha) = \alpha^2 - \alpha$$
$$g'(\alpha) = 2\alpha - 1, \quad g'(0) = -1$$
$$27/36$$

Curvature and Hessian

The rate of change of the gradient-the *curvature*-is also useful information because it tells us if a function's slope is increasing (positive curvature), decreasing (negative curvature), or stationary (zero curvature). Given a function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we define the matrix of second partial derivatives

$$\mathbf{H}_{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla^{2} f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hessian is a symmetric matrix with n(n+1)/2 independent elements.

Curvature and Hessian

We can find the rate of change of the gradient in an arbitrary normalized direction ${f p}$ by taking the product ${f H}_{{f p}}.$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{p}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}(\nabla f(\mathbf{x})) = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{\nabla f(\mathbf{x} + \tau \mathbf{p}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})}{\tau}$$

To find the curvature of the one-dimensional function along a direction ${\bf p}$, we need to project ${\bf H_p}$ onto direction ${\bf p}$ as

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}f(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{p}$$

which yields a scalar quantity. If we want to get the curvature in the original units of \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{p} should be normalized.

Curvature and Hessian

Consider $f(x_1,x_2)=x_1^2+2x_2^2-x_1x_2.$ The Hessian of this quadratic is

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla(\nabla f(x)) = \nabla \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 \\ 4x_2 - x_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find the curvature in the direction $\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix}^T$, we compute

$$\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{7 - \sqrt{3}}{2}$$

Taylor's Theorem, Linear and Quadratic Approximations

Suppose that $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}^p$ on an interval $\mathbf{J} = [a, b]$. If x_0, x belong to \mathbf{J} , then there exists a number γ between x_0 and x such that

$$f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \frac{f'(x_0)}{1!}(x - x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2!}(x - x_0)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(p-1)}(x_0)}{(p-1)!}(x - x_0)^{(p-1)} + \frac{f^{(p)}(x_0)}{p!}(x - x_0)^p$$

- Linear approximation: $f_l(x) \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x x_0)$
- Quadratic approximation: $f_q(x) \approx f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x x_0)^2$ For *n*-dimension we have

$$f_q(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_0) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \text{H.O.T.}$$

Taylor's Theorem, Linear and Quadratic Approximations

Given $f(\mathbf{x}) = 2x_1 + \frac{x_2}{x_1}$. Construct linear and quadratic approximations to the original function $f(\mathbf{x})$ at $\mathbf{x}_0 = [1 \ 0.5]^T$

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - \frac{x_2}{x_1^2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_2}{x_1^3} & -\frac{1}{x_1^2} \\ -\frac{1}{x_1^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The linear approximation is

$$f_l(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) = 2.5 + \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - 1 \\ x_2 - 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 0.5 + 1.5x_1 + x_2$$

The quadratic approximation is

$$f_q(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_0) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$$

Taylor's Theorem, Linear and Quadratic Approximations

$$f_q(\mathbf{x}) \approx 0.5 + 1.5x_1 + x_2 + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 - 1) & (x_2 - 0.5) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - 1 \\ x_2 - 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 0.5 + x_1 + 2x_2 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$$

Plotting: We need to plot, in variable-space or *x*-space, the contours

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = c, f_l(\mathbf{x}) = c, f_q(\mathbf{x}) = c,$$
 where $c = f(\mathbf{x}_0) = 2.5$



Taylor's Theorem, Linear and Quadratic Approximations using Julia

```
using Symbolics, ForwardDiff, LinearAlgebra
@variables x1 x2
f(x) = 2x[1] + x[2]/x[1]
g(x) = ForwardDiff.gradient(f,x)
H(x) = ForwardDiff.hessian(f,x)
x0 = [1, 0.5]
fl(x) = f(x0) + g(x0)' * (x - x0)
fq(x) = f(x0) + g(x0)' * (x - x0) + (1/2) * (x - x0)' * H(x0) * (x - x0)
println(expand(fl([x1, x2])))
println(expand(fq([x1, x2])))
```

Taylor's Theorem, Linear and Quadratic Approximations using Matlab

```
syms x1 x2 real
f = 2 \times x1 + x2/x1
x0 = [1, 0.5];
g = gradient(f, [x1, x2])
H = hessian(f, [x1, x2])
f0 = subs(f, [x1, x2], x0)
g0 = subs(g, [x1, x2], x0)
H0 = subs(H, [x1, x2], x0)
dx = [x1; x2] - x0';
fl = f0 + g0' * dx
fg = expand(f0 + g0'*dx + (0.5)*dx'*H0*dx)
```

- Joaquim R. R. A. Martins, Andrew Ning, "Engineering Design Optimization," Cambridge University Press, 2021
- 2. Alexander Mitsos, "Applied Numerical Optimization," Lecture Note RWTH AACHEN University
- 3. Ashok D. Belegundu, Tirupathi R. Chandrupatla, "Optimization Concepts and Applications in Engineering," Cambridge University Press, 2019